

# Antisymmetric Tensor Products of Absolutely $p$ -Summing Operators

STEFAN GEISS

*Mathematische Fakultät der Friedrich Schiller Universität Jena,  
UHH 17.0G, Jena, D 0-6900, Germany*

*Communicated by Paul L. Butzer*

Received October 15, 1990

We consider antisymmetric tensor products of absolutely  $p$ -summing operators. In connection with this second moments of determinants of random matrices appear. These second moments are closely related to approximation properties of the absolutely 2-summing operators and can be used to characterize some classes of infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. Finite-dimensional results are also obtained by this approach. © 1992 Academic Press, Inc.

The starting point of the present paper is the result of Holub [4], which says that the injective tensor product of two absolutely  $p$ -summing operators  $S$  and  $T$  is again absolutely  $p$ -summing whereas  $\pi_p(S \otimes_e T) \leq \pi_p(S) \pi_p(T)$ .

We consider *antisymmetric* injective tensor products of an absolutely  $p$ -summing operator and prove norm estimates that are better than those appearing in the general case covered by Holub; see Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In connection with this, second moments of determinants of random matrices appear and suggest a modified definition of the Grothendieck numbers.

For a linear and continuous operator  $S$  between Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  these modified Grothendieck numbers are defined by

$$\Gamma_n(S; \mu) := \sup \left\{ \int_{B_Y} \cdots \int_{B_Y} |\det(\langle Sx_i, b_j \rangle)|^2 d\mu(b_1) \cdots d\mu(b_n) \right\}^{1/2n},$$

where the supremum is taken over all normalized elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  and where  $\mu$  is a normalized regular Borel measure on the unit ball of  $Y'$  equipped with the  $\sigma(Y', Y)$ -topology.

Some basic properties and examples of these quantities can be found in Section 2. In Section 3 we see that the modified Grothendieck numbers of  $S$  are closely related to the approximation numbers of the composition

$JS$ , where  $J: Y \rightarrow L_2(B_{Y'}; \mu)$  is the canonical embedding. Using this fact we characterize some classes of Banach spaces in Theorems 3.2–3.4. In the last section we exploit finite-dimensional estimates of the modified Grothendieck numbers to reprove a result of Pełczyński and Szarek [9] concerning cubical volume ratios of convex and symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . With the same method we sharpen the relation between volume ratios of convex and symmetric bodies using ellipsoids of minimal and maximal volume and their analytical counterpart, the Grothendieck numbers; see Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4.

### PRELIMINARIES

If nothing is stated to the contrary, all Banach spaces are assumed to be real or complex. The closed unit ball of a Banach space  $X$  is denoted by  $B_X$ , the dual of  $X$  by  $X'$ .  $I_X$  is the identity-operator. The notations of special sequence and function spaces are adopted from [6]. The space of all linear and continuous operators from a Banach space  $X$  into a Banach space  $Y$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and equipped with the norm

$$\|S\| := \sup\{\|Sx\|: x \in B_X\}.$$

If  $K$  is a compact Hausdorff space then  $W(K)$  denotes the set of all normalized regular Borel measures on  $K$ .

Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . An operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is *absolutely  $p$ -summing* if there exist  $\mu \in W(B_{X'})$  ( $B_{X'}$  is equipped with the  $\sigma(X', X)$ -topology) and a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that

$$\|Sx\| \leq c \left( \int_{B_{X'}} |\langle x, a \rangle|^p d\mu(a) \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \quad (*)$$

The space  $\Pi_p(X, Y)$  of the absolutely  $p$ -summing operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  is endowed with the norm  $\pi_p(S) := \inf c$ , where the infimum is taken over all  $c \geq 0$  such that (\*) holds for some measure  $\mu$ .

Since the infimum is attained (see [10, (17.3.2)]) we may say that  $S$  is *dominated by  $\mu$*  if (\*) is satisfied with  $c = \pi_p(S)$ .

An operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  belongs to the class  $\Gamma_2^*$  if there exists a factorization  $S = S_2 S_1$  through a Hilbert space  $H$  with  $S_1 \in \Pi_2(X, H)$  and  $S_2' \in \Pi_2(Y', H')$ . As norm we set

$$\gamma_2^*(S) := \inf\{\pi_2(S_1) \pi_2(S_2')\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible representations. According to [10, (17.4.3)] the infimum is attained again. So we analogously say that  $S$

is dominated by  $\mu \in W(B_{X'})$  and  $\nu \in W(B_{Y''})$  if  $S = S_2 S_1$  and  $\gamma_2^*(S) = \pi_2(S_1) \pi_2(S_2')$ , where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are dominated by  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , respectively.

Finally, we call an operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  *nuclear* if there exist sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset X'$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset Y$  such that

$$Sx = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \langle x, a_n \rangle y_n \quad \text{for all } x \in X$$

and  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|a_n\| \|y_n\| < \infty$ . The set of all nuclear operators  $S$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  is denoted by  $N(X, Y)$  and is a Banach space under

$$\nu(S) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|a_n\| \|y_n\| \right\}.$$

More information about the above operator classes can be found in [10] or [11].

### 1. ANTISYMMETRIC TENSOR PRODUCTS OF ABSOLUTELY $p$ -SUMMING OPERATORS

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $S_n$  be the group of all permutations of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . For  $X$  we define the  $n$ th *outer product* as

$$A^n X := \text{span} \left\{ x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n := \sum_{S_n} \text{sgn } \sigma x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\sigma(n)} \right\} \subseteq \otimes^n X$$

and denote the closure in the injective tensor product  $\otimes_e^n X$  by  $A_e^n X$ . In the special situation in which  $X = H$  is a Hilbert space we use

$$(s, t) := \sum_{i,j} \det((f_{ki}, g_{lj}))_{k,l=1}^n,$$

$$\left( s = \sum_i f_{1i} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{ni} \in A^n H, t = \sum_j g_{1j} \wedge \dots \wedge g_{nj} \in A^n H \right)$$

as scalar product on  $A^n H$  and form the corresponding closure  $A_e^n H$ . The usual norm of an element  $s \in A_e^n X$  is denoted by  $\varepsilon(s)$  (see below). In  $A_e^n H$  we take  $\tau(s) := (s, s)^{1/2}$ .

The elements of  $A_e^n X$  can be naturally considered as antisymmetric functionals on  $X' \times \dots \times X'$  in the following way. To each  $s \in A^n X$  with  $s = \sum_i x_{1i} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{ni}$  we assign a continuous functional

$$\tilde{s} \in \mathbb{L}(X', \dots, X') := \{t: X' \times \dots \times X' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}: n\text{-linear and continuous}\}$$

by

$$\tilde{s}(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \sum_i \det(\langle x_{ki}, a_l \rangle)_{k,l=1}^n.$$

$\tilde{s}$  does not depend on the special representation of  $s$  and

$$\|\tilde{s}\| = \sup\{|\tilde{s}(a_1, \dots, a_n)| : a_i \in B_{X'}\} = \varepsilon(s).$$

Hence  $A_\varepsilon^n X$  is an isometric subspace of  $\mathbb{L}(X', \dots, X')$  and

$$\|s\|_{L_p(\mu^n)} := \left( \int_{B_{X'}} \dots \int_{B_{X'}} |s(a_1, \dots, a_n)|^p d\mu(a_1) \dots d\mu(a_n) \right)^{1/p}$$

is justified for  $\mu \in \mathcal{W}(B_{X'})$ ,  $s \in A_\varepsilon^n X$ , and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

Furthermore, assuming  $K$  to be a compact Hausdorff space we recall  $\otimes_\varepsilon^n C(K) = C(K \times \dots \times K)$  and deduce

$$A_\varepsilon^n C(K) = C^a(K \times \dots \times K),$$

where  $C^a(K \times \dots \times K)$  is the subspace of  $C(K \times \dots \times K)$  consisting of all antisymmetric and continuous functions on  $K \times \dots \times K$ .

Finally, we introduce the *outer  $\varepsilon$ -product*  $A_\varepsilon^n S: A_\varepsilon^n X \rightarrow A_\varepsilon^n Y$  of an operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  by  $(A_\varepsilon^n S)(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n) := Sx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Sx_n$ . The *outer 2-product*  $A_2^n S$  of an operator  $S$  acting between Hilbert spaces is defined analogously.

Now we can formulate the main results.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and  $S \in \Pi_p(X, Y)$  be dominated by the measure  $\mu$ . Then*

$$\varepsilon((A_\varepsilon^n S)s) \leq n!^{-1/p} \pi_p(S)^n \|s\|_{L_p(\mu^n)} \quad \text{for all } s \in A_\varepsilon^n X.$$

Consequently,  $\pi_p(A_\varepsilon^n S) \leq n!^{-1/p} \pi_p(S)^n$ .

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $S \in \Pi_2(X, H)$  be dominated by the measure  $\mu$ . Then  $A^n S: A_\varepsilon^n X \rightarrow A_2^n H$  (induced in the canonical way) is absolutely 2-summing with*

$$\tau((A^n S)s) \leq n!^{-1/2} \pi_2(S)^n \|s\|_{L_2(\mu^n)} \quad \text{for all } s \in A_\varepsilon^n X.$$

Consequently,  $\pi_2(A^n S) \leq n!^{-1/2} \pi_2(S)^n$ .

To prove the above results we start with a formula which is of

Cauchy–Binet type and describe  $A_2^n L_2(\Omega, \mu)$  in the case when  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. For this purpose the linear map

$$\Psi: A^n L_2(\Omega, \mu) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega \times \cdots \times \Omega, \mu \times \cdots \times \mu)$$

is defined on the representatives by

$$\Psi(f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n) := ((\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \rightarrow \det(f_i(\omega_j))_{i,j=1}^n).$$

Furthermore, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  we denote by  $L_p^a(\Omega \times \cdots \times \Omega, \mu \times \cdots \times \mu)$  the closed subspace of  $L_p(\Omega \times \cdots \times \Omega, \mu \times \cdots \times \mu)$  defined by

$$\{f \in L_p: \text{there exists an antisymmetric } f' \in f \text{ defined everywhere}\}.$$

LEMMA 1.3. *Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Then*

$$A_2^n L_2(\Omega, \mu) = L_2^a(\Omega \times \cdots \times \Omega, \mu \times \cdots \times \mu),$$

where for all  $s, t \in A^n L_2$

$$(s, t)_{A_2^n L_2} = n!^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \cdots \int_{\Omega} \Psi(s)(\omega_i) \overline{\Psi(t)(\omega_i)} d\mu(\omega_1) \cdots d\mu(\omega_n).$$

*Proof.* Let  $s, t \in A^n L_2(\Omega, \mu)$  be given by  $s = \sum_i f_{1i} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{ni}$  and  $t = \sum_j g_{1j} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{nj}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (s, t) &= \sum_{i,j} \det(f_{ki}, g_{lj})_{k,l=1}^n \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \det \left( \int_{\Omega} f_{ki} \overline{g_{lj}} d\mu \right)_{k,l} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \sum_{S_n} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma \int \cdots \int f_{1i}(\omega_1) \cdots f_{ni}(\omega_n) \\ &\quad \times \overline{g_{\sigma(1)j}(\omega_1) \cdots g_{\sigma(n)j}(\omega_n)} d\mu(\omega_1) \cdots d\mu(\omega_n) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \int \cdots \int f_{1i}(\omega_1) \cdots f_{ni}(\omega_n) \\ &\quad \times \overline{\det(g_{kj}(\omega_l))_{k,l}} d\mu(\omega_1) \cdots d\mu(\omega_n) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} n!^{-1} \int \cdots \int \det(f_{ki}(\omega_l))_{k,l} \\ &\quad \times \overline{\det(g_{kj}(\omega_l))_{k,l}} d\mu(\omega_1) \cdots d\mu(\omega_n) \\ &= n!^{-1} \int \cdots \int \Psi s \overline{\Psi t} d\mu \cdots d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Psi: A^n L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2^a \subseteq L_2(\Omega \times \dots \times \Omega)$  is an isometric embedding (with the factor  $n!^{-1/2}$ ). To show that the extension  $\tilde{\Psi}: A_2^n L_2 \rightarrow L_2^a$  is a surjection we approximate an element  $f \in L_2^a$  by step-functions  $f_k$  in the  $L_2$ -norm. It is clear that we can assume

$$f_k = \sum_i \lambda_i \chi_{A_{i_1}^k} \times \dots \times \chi_{A_{i_n}^k} \quad (\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, A_{i_l}^k \in \mathcal{F}).$$

Considering the operator  $\text{alt}: L_2(\Omega \times \dots \times \Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega \times \dots \times \Omega)$  defined on the representatives by  $\text{alt}(f) = n!^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn } \sigma f_\sigma$  ( $f_\sigma(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) := f(\omega_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \omega_{\sigma(n)})$ ) we obtain  $\|\text{alt}\| \leq 1$  and

$$\text{alt}(f_k) \xrightarrow{k} \text{alt}(f) = f$$

in the  $L_2$ -norm. Since  $\text{alt}(f_k) \in \Psi(A^n L_2)$  we have  $f \in \tilde{\Psi}(A_2^n L_2)$ . ■

LEMMA 1.4. *Let  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $K$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $\mu$  a regular measure. Then the map  $\Phi: C^a(K \times \dots \times K) \rightarrow A_c^n C(K)$  with*

$$\Phi((\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \rightarrow \det(f_i(\omega_j))_{i,j=1}^n) = f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_n$$

can be uniquely extended to a linear and continuous operator

$$\tilde{\Phi}: L_p^a(K \times \dots \times K, \mu \times \dots \times \mu) \rightarrow A_c^n L_p(K, \mu).$$

Moreover  $\|\tilde{\Phi}\| \leq n!^{-1/p}$ .

*Proof.* First we mention that the inclusions

$$A^n C(K) \subseteq C^a(K \times \dots \times K) \subseteq L_p^a(K \times \dots \times K, \mu \times \dots \times \mu)$$

are dense with respect to the  $L_p$ -norm. Let  $1 = 1/p + 1/q$ . Considering  $s = \sum_i f_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{i_n} \in A^n C(K)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi s\|_{A_c^n L_p} &= \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_i \det(\langle f_{k_i}, g_l \rangle)_{k,l} \right| : \|g_l\|_q \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup_{g_l} \left\{ n!^{-1} \left| \int \dots \int s(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \det(g_l(\omega_k)) d\mu(\omega_1) \dots d\mu(\omega_n) \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \{n!^{-1} \|s\|_p\} \sup_{g_l} \left\{ \int \dots \int |\det(g_l(\omega_k))|^q d\mu(\omega_1) \dots d\mu(\omega_n) \right\}^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 1.3.

It remains to estimate the second factor from above by  $n^{1/q}$ . If  $q = 2$  is taken Lemma 1.3 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int \cdots \int |\det(g_l(\omega_k))|^2 d\mu(\omega_1) \cdots d\mu(\omega_n) \right)^{1/2} \\ & = n^{1/2} |\det((g_i, g_j))|^{1/2} \leq n^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, taking  $q = 1$  we use

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int |\det(g_l(\omega_k))| d\mu(\omega_1) \cdots d\mu(\omega_n) \\ & \leq \sum_{S_n} \int \cdots \int |g_1(\omega_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots g_n(\omega_{\sigma(n)})| d\mu(\omega_1) \cdots d\mu(\omega_n) \leq n!. \end{aligned}$$

To treat the remaining case  $1 < q < 2$  we consider the operator

$$M_q: L_q(K) \times \cdots \times L_q(K) \rightarrow L_q(K \times \cdots \times K)$$

defined (on the representatives) by

$$M_q(g_1, \dots, g_n) := ((\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \rightarrow \det(g_l(\omega_k))_{k,l=1}^n).$$

Now, for  $1/q = \theta + (1 - \theta)/2$  complex interpolation yields

$$\|M_q\| \leq \|M_1\|^\theta \|M_2\|^{1-\theta} \leq n!^\theta n!^{(1-\theta)/2} = n^{1/q}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Now we are in a position to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Since  $S$  is dominated by  $\mu$  there exist subspaces  $X_0 \subseteq C(K)$ ,  $X_p \subseteq L_p(K, \mu)$  ( $K := B_{X'}$ ) and an operator  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X_p, Y)$  with  $\|B\| \leq \pi_p(S)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{S} & Y \\ A \downarrow & & \uparrow B \\ X_0 & \xrightarrow{J_p} & X_p \\ J \downarrow & & \cap \\ C(K) & \xrightarrow{J_p} & L_p(K, \mu) \end{array}$$

where  $A$  is defined by  $Ax := \langle x, \rangle$ ,  $J$  is the embedding of  $X_0$  into  $C(K)$

and  $J_p$  is the restriction of the embedding  $\tilde{J}_p$ . The injectivity of the  $\varepsilon$ -product implies the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_\varepsilon^n X & \xrightarrow{A_\varepsilon^n S} & A_\varepsilon^n Y \\
 A_\varepsilon^n A \downarrow & & \uparrow A_\varepsilon^n B \\
 A_\varepsilon^n X_0 & \xrightarrow{A_\varepsilon^n J_p} & A_\varepsilon^n X_p \\
 A_\varepsilon^n J \downarrow & & \cap \\
 A_\varepsilon^n C(K) & \xrightarrow{A_\varepsilon^n \tilde{J}_p} & A_\varepsilon^n L_p(K, \mu) \\
 \parallel & & \uparrow \tilde{\Phi}_n \\
 C^a(K \times \dots \times K) & \xrightarrow{J_p^n} & L_p^a(K \times \dots \times K, \mu \times \dots \times \mu)
 \end{array}$$

where  $\tilde{J}_p^n$  is the canonical embedding of  $C^a$  into  $L_p^a$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_n$  is the map from Lemma 1.4. We see

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_p(A_\varepsilon^n S) &\leq \pi_p(A_\varepsilon^n J_p) \|A_\varepsilon^n B\| \leq \pi_p(A_\varepsilon^n \tilde{J}_p) \|B\|^n \\
 &\leq \|\tilde{\Phi}_n\| \pi_p(\tilde{J}_p^n) \|B\|^n \leq n!^{-1/p} \pi_p(S)^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let  $s \in A_\varepsilon^n X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon((A_\varepsilon^n S)s) &\leq \|A_\varepsilon^n B\| \varepsilon((A_\varepsilon^n J_p A)s) \leq \|A_\varepsilon^n B\| \varepsilon((A_\varepsilon^n \tilde{J}_p J A)s) \\
 &\leq \|A_\varepsilon^n B\| \varepsilon(\tilde{\Phi}_n \tilde{J}_p^n(A_\varepsilon^n J A)s) \leq n!^{-1/p} \pi_p(S)^n \|s\|_{L_p(\mu^n)}. \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Again setting  $K = B_X$  we can write the operator  $S$  as  $S = BJA$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, C(K))$  and  $J \in \mathcal{L}(C(K), L_2(K, \mu))$  are the canonical embeddings and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(L_2(K, \mu), H)$  satisfies  $\|B\| \leq \pi_2(S)$ . We obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_\varepsilon^n X & \xrightarrow{A_\varepsilon^n S} & A_2^n H \\
 A_\varepsilon^n A \downarrow & & \uparrow A_2^n B \\
 A_\varepsilon^n C(K) & & A_2^n L_2(K, \mu) \\
 \parallel & & \uparrow \Psi_n \\
 C^a(K \times \dots \times K) & \xrightarrow{J_2^n} & L_2^a(K \times \dots \times K, \mu \times \dots \times \mu)
 \end{array}$$



where  $\tilde{\Psi}_n$  is taken from Lemma 1.3 with  $\|\tilde{\Psi}_n\| = n!^{-1/2}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1.1 it follows that  $\pi_2(A^n S) \leq n!^{-1/2} \pi_2(S)^n$  and

$$\tau((A^n S)s) \leq n!^{-1/2} \pi_2(S)^n \|s\|_{L_2(\mu^n)} \quad \text{for all } s \in A_\epsilon^n X. \quad \blacksquare$$

To give a first corollary of Theorem 1.1 we define for  $S: X \rightarrow Y \in \Pi_2$  and  $T: Y \rightarrow X \in \Pi_2$  the determinant of  $I + TS$  as

$$\det(I + TS) := 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}(A_\epsilon^n TS),$$

where  $\text{tr}$  is the unique continuous trace on the operator ideal  $\Pi_2^2$  (see [11, (4.2.6)]).

Now we can improve [11, (4.7.17)] in the case  $r = 1$ .

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *Let  $S \in \Pi_2(X, Y)$  and  $T \in \Pi_2(Y, X)$ . Then*

$$|\det(I + TS)| \leq \exp(\pi_2(T) \pi_2(S)).$$

*Proof.* Using [11, (4.2.6)] and Theorem 1.1 we see

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(I + TS)| &\leq 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\text{tr}(A_\epsilon^n TS)| \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_2(A_\epsilon^n T) \pi_2(A_\epsilon^n S) \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n!^{-1} \pi_2(T)^n \pi_2(S)^n. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

## 2. MODIFIED GROTHENDIECK NUMBERS

According to [2] the usual Grothendieck numbers of an operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(S) &:= \sup \{ |\det(\langle Sx_i, b_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^{1/n}: x_i \in B_X, b_j \in B_{Y'} \} \\ &= \sup \{ \varepsilon(Sx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Sx_n)^{1/n}: x_i \in B_X \}, \end{aligned}$$

whereas  $\Gamma_n(X) := \Gamma_n(I_X)$ .

Note that  $\Gamma_n(X)$  measures the distance of the  $n$ -dimensional subspaces of

$X$  to the Hilbert space by approximating the unit ball (of such a subspace) with the help of ellipsoids of maximal and minimal volume (see [3] and Corollary 4.4 of this paper).

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 give rise to the following modification.

Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{W}(B_{Y'})$ . Then

$$\Gamma_n(S; \mu) := \sup \left\{ \int_{B_{Y'}} \cdots \int_{B_{Y'}} |\det(\langle Sx_i, b_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^2 d\mu(b_1) \cdots d\mu(b_n) \right\}^{1/2n},$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $x_i \in B_X$ . Again we use

$$\Gamma_n(X; \mu) := \Gamma_n(I_X; \mu).$$

In this section we present some basic properties and examples of these modified quantities “for fixed  $n$ ,” whereas in the next section we relate their asymptotic behaviour for “ $n \rightarrow \infty$ ” to geometrical properties of the underlying Banach spaces.

For fixed  $n$  the usual and modified Grothendieck numbers satisfy

$$\left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right)^{1/2n} \Gamma_n(S) \leq \sup \{ \Gamma_n(S; \mu) : \mu \in \mathcal{W}(B_{Y'}) \} \leq \Gamma_n(S).$$

The right-hand inequality is clear. To see the left-hand one let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B_X$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B_{Y'}$  be arbitrary. Defining  $\mu := 1/n \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{b_j} \in \mathcal{W}(B_{Y'})$ , where  $\delta_b$  is the Dirac measure at  $b \in Y'$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right)^{1/2n} |\det(\langle Sx_i, b_j \rangle)|^{1/n} \\ &= \left( \int_{B_{Y'}} \cdots \int_{B_{Y'}} |\det(\langle Sx_i, c_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^2 d\mu(c_1) \cdots d\mu(c_n) \right)^{1/2n} \\ &\leq \Gamma_n(S; \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over  $x_i$  and  $b_j$  we arrive at the desired result.

The following observations give more precise information about the interplay between the different Grothendieck numbers.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{W}(B_{Y'})$ , and  $J: Y \rightarrow L_2(B_{Y'}; \mu)$  be the canonical embedding. Then*

$$\Gamma_n(JS) = n!^{-1/2n} \Gamma_n(S; \mu).$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 1.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(JS) &= \sup\{ |(JSx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge JSx_n, b_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b_n)_{\mathcal{A}_2^2 L_2}|^{1/n}; x_i \in B_X, b_j \in B_{L_2} \} \\ &= \sup\{ |(JSx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge JSx_n, JSx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge JSx_n)_{\mathcal{A}_2^2 L_2}|^{1/2n}; x_i \in B_X \} \\ &= n!^{-1/2n} \sup_{x_i} \left\{ \int_{B_Y} \dots \int_{B_Y} |\det(\langle Sx_i, b_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^2 d\mu(b_1) \dots d\mu(b_n) \right\}^{1/2n} \\ &= n!^{-1/2n} \Gamma_n(S; \mu). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $S = I_X$  we will use a “two sided version” of Lemma 2.1. For this purpose we define the covariance operator  $T_\mu \in \mathcal{L}(X, X')$  for a measure  $\mu \in W(B_{X'})$  by

$$\langle x, T_\mu y \rangle := \int_{B_{X'}} \langle x, a \rangle \langle y, a \rangle d\mu(a).$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $\mu \in W(B_{X'})$ . Then*

$$\Gamma_n(T_\mu) = n!^{-1/n} \Gamma_n(X; \mu)^2.$$

*Proof.* By local reflexivity and again by Lemma 1.3 we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(T_\mu) &= \sup\{ |\det(\langle x_i, T_\mu y_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^{1/n}; x_i, y_j \in B_X \} \\ &= \sup\{ |(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n, \bar{y}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{y}_n)_{\mathcal{A}_2^2 L_2(B_{X'}, \mu)}|^{1/n}; x_i, y_j \in B_X \} \\ &= \sup\{ |(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n, \bar{x}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{x}_n)_{\mathcal{A}_2^2 L_2(B_{X'}, \mu)}|^{1/n}; x_i \in B_X \} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{n!} \int_{B_{X'}} \dots \int_{B_{X'}} |\det(\langle x_i, a_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^2 d\mu(a_1) \dots d\mu(a_n); x_i \in B_X \right\}^{1/n} \\ &= n!^{-1/n} \Gamma_n(X; \mu)^2. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Weaker, but more general, variants of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 are also useful. Moreover, they improve [2, (2.1, 2.5)].

LEMMA 2.1'. *Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and let  $T \in \Pi_2(Y, Z)$  be dominated by  $\mu \in W(B_{Y'})$ . Then*

$$\Gamma_n(TS) \leq n!^{-1/2n} \Gamma_n(S; \mu) \pi_2(T).$$

*Proof.* Applying Theorem 1.1 to  $s = Sx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Sx_n$  and taking the supremum over  $x_i \in B_X$  we arrive at our assertion. ■

LEMMA 2.2'. Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X_0, X)$ ,  $S \in \Gamma_2^*(X, Y)$ , and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y_0)$ . If  $S$  is dominated by  $\mu \in W(B_{X'})$  and  $\nu \in W(B_{Y''})$ , then

$$\Gamma_n(BSA) \leq n!^{-1/n} \Gamma_n(B'; \nu) \Gamma_n(A; \mu) \gamma_2^*(S).$$

*Proof.* We assume  $S = S_2 S_1$  with  $S_1 \in \Pi_2(X, H)$  and  $S_2' \in \Pi_2(Y', H')$  such that  $\gamma_2^*(S) = \pi_2(S_1) \pi_2(S_2')$  ( $\mu$  and  $\nu$  dominate  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , respectively). Setting

$$s = Ax_1^0 \wedge \dots \wedge Ax_n^0 \quad \text{and} \quad t = B'b_1^0 \wedge \dots \wedge B'b_n^0$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\det(\langle BSAx_i^0, b_j^0 \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n| \\ &= |\det(\langle S_1 Ax_i^0, S_2' B'b_j^0 \rangle)| \\ &= |(S_1 Ax_1^0 \wedge \dots \wedge S_1 Ax_n^0, S_2' B'b_1^0 \wedge \dots \wedge S_2' B'b_n^0)_{A_2^2 H}| \\ &\leq \tau(S_1 Ax_1^0 \wedge \dots \wedge S_1 Ax_n^0) \tau(S_2' B'b_1^0 \wedge \dots \wedge S_2' B'b_n^0) \\ &\leq n!^{-1} \pi_2(S_1)^n \pi_2(S_2')^n \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{B_{X'}} \dots \int_{B_{X'}} |\det(\langle Ax_i^0, a_j \rangle)|^2 d\mu(a_1) \dots d\mu(a_n) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{B_{Y''}} \dots \int_{B_{Y''}} |\det(\langle B'b_j^0, y_k'' \rangle)|^2 d\nu(y_1'') \dots d\nu(y_n'') \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

from Theorem 1.2. Passing to the supremum over  $x_i^0 \in B_{X_0}$  and  $b_j^0 \in B_{Y_0}$  yields the desired result. ■

Before we consider some examples we derive two basic properties of the modified Grothendieck numbers which are needed in the sequel.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $\mu \in W(B_{Y'})$ . Then

$$\Gamma_n(S; \mu) \leq n!^{1/2n} \|S\|.$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.1 and [2] we obtain

$$\Gamma_n(S; \mu) = n!^{1/2n} \Gamma_n(JS) \leq n!^{1/2n} \|JS\| \leq n!^{1/2n} \|S\|. \quad \blacksquare$$

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Let  $Y \subseteq X$  be Banach spaces and let  $v \in W(B_{Y'})$ . Then there exists a measure  $\mu \in W(B_{X'})$  such that*

$$\Gamma_n(Y; v) \leq \Gamma_n(X; \mu) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* If  $I: Y \rightarrow X$  and  $J: Y \rightarrow L_2(B_{Y'}; v)$  are the canonical embeddings and if  $\tilde{J}: X \rightarrow L_2(B_{Y'}; v)$  is an extension of  $J$  with  $\pi_2(\tilde{J}) = \pi_2(J) = 1$  and the dominating measure  $\mu \in W(B_{X'})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(Y; v) &= n!^{1/2n} \Gamma_n(J) = n!^{1/2n} \Gamma_n(\tilde{J}I) \\ &\leq \Gamma_n(I; \mu) \pi_2(\tilde{J}) \leq \Gamma_n(X; \mu) \end{aligned}$$

according to Lemmas 2.1 and 2.1'. ■

Now we are in a position to treat some examples. For the first one we mention  $\Gamma_n(l_2^n) = 1$  according to [2].

**EXAMPLE 2.5.** *Let  $\mu \in W(B_{l_2^n})$  and  $\{e_i\}$  be the standard basis of  $l_2^n$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{B_{l_2^n}} \cdots \int_{B_{l_2^n}} |\det(\langle e_i, a_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^2 d\mu(a_1) \cdots d\mu(a_n) \right)^{1/2n} \\ &= \Gamma_n(l_2^n; \mu) \leq \left( \frac{n!}{n^n} \right)^{1/2n}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case in which  $\mu$  is the Haar measure on the sphere  $S_{n-1}$  or  $\mu = 1/n \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{e_j}$ , equality holds.

*Proof.* By the volume and multiplication properties of the determinant it is easy to see that

$$\sup\{|\det(\langle x_i, a_j \rangle)| : x_i \in B_{l_2^n}\} = |\det(\langle e_i, a_j \rangle)|$$

such that

$$\left( \int_{B_{l_2^n}} \cdots \int_{B_{l_2^n}} |\det(\langle e_i, a_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^2 d\mu(a_1) \cdots d\mu(a_n) \right)^{1/2n} = \Gamma_n(l_2^n; \mu).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1 and [2] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(l_2^n; \mu) &= n!^{1/2n} \Gamma_n(J: l_2^n \rightarrow L_2(B_{l_2^n}; \mu)) \\ &= n!^{1/2n} (a_1(J) \cdots a_n(J))^{1/n}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_k(J)$  are the usual approximation numbers of  $J$  (see Section 3). With the help of [11, (2.11.24)] we continue to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(l_2^n; \mu) &\leq \left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right)^{1/2n} (a_1(J)^2 + \cdots + a_n(J)^2)^{1/2} \leq \left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right)^{1/2n} \pi_2(J) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right)^{1/2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\mu$  be the Haar measure on  $S_{n-1}$  or  $\mu = 1/n \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{e_j}$ . In both cases the covariance operator  $T_\mu: l_2^n \rightarrow l_2^n$  satisfies

$$\langle e_i, T_\mu e_j \rangle = \int_{B_2^n} \alpha_i \alpha_j d\mu(\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}) = 1/n \delta_{ij}.$$

Hence  $T_\mu = 1/nI$ . Applying Lemma 2.2 yields

$$\Gamma_n(l_2^n; \mu) = (n!)^{1/2n} \Gamma_n(1/nI)^{1/2} = n^{-1/2} (n!)^{1/2n}. \quad \blacksquare$$

For later use we construct measures  $\mu \in W(B_{l_2^n})$  with

$$\int_{B_2^n} \cdots \int_{B_2^n} |\det(\langle e_i, a_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^2 d\mu(a_1) \cdots d\mu(a_n) = \frac{n!}{n^n}$$

in a more general way using ellipsoids of maximal volume.

Let  $E$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Banach space. We will say that  $u \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^n, E)$  is a *John-map*, if  $\|u\| = 1$  and  $\pi_2(u^{-1}) = n^{1/2}$ . Note that the image  $u(B_{l_2^n})$  is the unique ellipsoid of maximal volume which is contained in  $B_E$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.6.** Let  $E$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Banach space and let  $u \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^n, E)$  be a John-map. Furthermore, let  $u^{-1}$  be dominated by  $\mu \in W(B_E)$  and let  $\nu \in W(B_{l_2^n})$  be the image measure of  $\mu$  with respect to  $u' \in \mathcal{L}(E', l_2^n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{B_2^n} \cdots \int_{B_2^n} |\det(\langle e_i, a_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^2 d\nu(a_1) \cdots d\nu(a_n) \right)^{1/2n} \\ &= \Gamma_n(u; \mu) = \left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right)^{1/2n}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The left-hand equality follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{l_2}^n} \cdots \int_{B_{l_2}^n} |\det(\langle e_i, a_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^2 dv(a_1) \cdots dv(a_n) \\ &= \int_{B_{E'}} \cdots \int_{B_{E'}} |\det(\langle e_i, u'b_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^2 d\mu(b_1) \cdots d\mu(b_n) \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_{B_{E'}} \cdots \int_{B_{E'}} |\det(\langle x_i, u'b_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n|^2 d\mu(b_1) \cdots d\mu(b_n) : x_i \in B_{l_2}^n \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

using the same argument as that given in the proof of Example 2.5. We consider the right-hand equality. From the construction of the John-map it is clear that  $J: E \rightarrow L_2(B_{E'}; \mu)$  considered as a map on the image  $J(E)$  and  $n^{-1/2}u^{-1}$  may be identified. Hence

$$\Gamma_n(u; \mu) = n!^{1/2n} \Gamma_n(n^{-1/2}u^{-1}u) = \left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right)^{1/2n} \Gamma_n(l_2^n) = \left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right)^{1/2n}$$

according to Lemma 2.1. ■

Another example we want to discuss is

**EXAMPLE 2.7.** Let  $\mu \in W(B_{l_\infty})$  and let  $\{e_i\}$  be the standard basis of  $l_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{i_1 < \cdots < i_n} \left\{ \int_{B_{l_\infty}} \cdots \int_{B_{l_\infty}} |\det(\langle e_{i_k}, a_l \rangle)_{k,l=1}^n|^2 d\mu(a_1) \cdots d\mu(a_n) \right\}^{1/2n} \\ &= \Gamma_n(l_1; \mu) \leq n!^{1/2n}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu$  is induced by the embedding  $J: [\{-1, +1\}^N, \nu] \rightarrow B_{l_\infty}$ , where  $\nu$  is the normalized Haar measure on the product group  $\{-1, +1\}^N$ , and if  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  is a family of independent random variables on  $[\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P]$  with  $P(\varepsilon_{ij} = 1) = P(\varepsilon_{ij} = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$  then

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} |\det(\varepsilon_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n|^2 dP(\omega) \right)^{1/2n} = \Gamma_n(l_1; \nu) = n!^{1/2n}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu \in W(B_{l_\infty})$  be arbitrary. Defining  $t: l_1 \times \cdots \times l_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$t(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \left( \int_{B_{l_\infty}} \cdots \int_{B_{l_\infty}} |\det(\langle x_i, a_j \rangle)|^2 d\mu(a_1) \cdots d\mu(a_n) \right)^{1/2}$$

we obtain a map which is continuous and convex in each component. Therefore

$$\Gamma_n(l_1; \mu) = \sup\{t(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n})^{1/n} : i_1 < \dots < i_n\}.$$

The estimate  $\Gamma_n(l_1; \mu) \leq n^{1/2n}$  follows from Corollary 2.3. Now we assume  $\mu$  to be the image of the Haar measure  $\nu$  on  $\{-1, +1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . The continuity of  $J$  and the regularity of  $\nu$  imply the regularity of  $\mu$ . The symmetry of  $\mu$  yields  $\Gamma_n(l_1; \mu) = t(e_1, \dots, e_n)^{1/n}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(l_1; \mu) &= \left( \int_{(-1,1)^{\mathbb{N}}} \dots \int_{(-1,1)^{\mathbb{N}}} |\det(\langle e_i, Jb_j \rangle)|^2 d\nu(b_1) \dots d\nu(b_n) \right)^{1/2n} \\ &= \left( \int_{\Omega} |\det(\varepsilon_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n|^2 dP(\omega) \right)^{1/2n}. \end{aligned}$$

To compute  $\Gamma_n(l_1; \mu)$  we consider the covariance operator  $T_\mu: l_1 \rightarrow l_\infty$ . It is not hard to check that

$$\int_{B_{l_\infty}} \langle e_i, a \rangle \langle e_j, a \rangle d\mu(a) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Consequently  $T_\mu = I: l_1 \rightarrow l_\infty$  such that

$$\Gamma_n(l_1; \mu) = n^{1/2n} \Gamma_n(I)^{1/2} = n^{1/2n}$$

according to Lemma 2.2 and

$$\Gamma_n(I: l_1 \rightarrow l_\infty) = \sup\{|\det(\langle Ie_{i_k}, e_{j_l} \rangle)_{k,l=1}^n|^{1/n} : i_k, j_l \in \mathbb{N}\} = 1$$

(again use convexity and continuity). ■

Corollaries 2.3, 2.4 and Example 2.7 yield at once

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space which contains  $l_1$  isometrically. Then there exists a measure  $\mu \in W(B_X)$  such that*

$$\Gamma_n(X; \mu) = n^{1/2n} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

In the next section we see that the above property is typical for Banach spaces containing an isomorphic copy of  $l_1$ .

### 3. RELATIONS TO THE GEOMETRY OF BANACH SPACES

We will show that the asymptotic behaviour of the modified Grothendieck numbers  $\Gamma_n(X; \mu)$  characterizes some classes of Banach spaces. As a



basic tool we make use of the approximation numbers, which are defined as

$$a_n(S) := \inf\{\|S - L\|: L \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y), \text{rank}(L) < n\}$$

for an operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . In the following it is convenient to set

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^a := \{S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y): \{n^{1/p-1/q} a_n(S)\} \in l_q\}$$

for  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ .

With the help of the following lemma we will translate known results about approximation numbers of absolutely 2-summing operators into the language of Grothendieck numbers.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ ,  $\mu \in W(B_{Y'})$ , and  $J: Y \rightarrow L_2(B_{Y'}; \mu)$  be the canonical embedding. Then*

$$a_1(JS) \cdots a_n(JS) \leq n!^{-1/2} \Gamma_n(S; \mu)^n \leq c^n \hat{a}_1(JS) \cdots \hat{a}_n(JS),$$

where  $c > 0$  is an absolute constant and  $\{\hat{a}_k(JS)\}$  stands for the doubled sequence  $\{a_1(JS), a_1(JS), a_2(JS), a_2(JS), \dots\}$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$a_1(JS) \cdots a_n(JS) \leq \Gamma_n(JS)^n \leq c^n \hat{a}_1(JS) \cdots \hat{a}_n(JS)$$

according to [3, (2.2)] our assertion follows from Lemma 2.1. ■

The left-hand side of Lemma 3.1 can be formulated more generally.

LEMMA 3.1'. *Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and let  $T \in \Pi_2(Y, Z)$  be dominated by  $\mu \in W(B_{Y'})$ . Then for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

$$(a_1(TS) \cdots a_n(TS))^{1/n} \leq n!^{-1/2n} \Gamma_n(S; \mu) \pi_2(T).$$

*Proof.* Considering the factorization  $T = BJ$ , where  $J: Y \rightarrow L_2(B_{Y'}; \mu)$  is as usual and where  $\pi_2(T) = \|B\|$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1(TS) \cdots a_n(TS))^{1/n} &\leq (a_1(JS) \cdots a_n(JS))^{1/n} \|B\| \\ &\leq n!^{-1/2n} \Gamma_n(S; \mu) \pi_2(T) \end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 3.1. ■

Let  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then all Banach spaces  $X$  such that

$$\sup_n n^{-\alpha} \Gamma_n(X) < \infty$$

form a well-known class of Banach spaces. For  $\alpha=0$  we obtain the weak Hilbert spaces;  $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$  yields the class of all Banach spaces. An  $L_p$ -space belongs to the above class whenever  $\alpha=|1/p-\frac{1}{2}|$  (see [2, 3, 7, 12, 15]).

With respect to the above classes the different Grothendieck numbers possess the same behaviour.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $\sup_n n^{-\alpha} \Gamma_n(X) < \infty$  if and only if*

$$\sup_n n^{-\alpha} \Gamma_n(X; \mu) < \infty \quad \text{for all } \mu \in W(B_{X'}).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\Gamma_n(X; \mu) \leq \Gamma_n(X)$  we show one direction only. If  $Y$  is an arbitrary Banach space and  $S \in \Pi_2(X, Y)$ , then we obtain  $\{a_n(S)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_{p, \infty}$  for  $1/p = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha$  from Lemma 3.1'. Hence  $\sup_n n^{-\alpha} \Gamma_n(X) < \infty$  according to [7, (4.5)] or [14, (2.2)]. ■

The asymptotic behaviours of  $\Gamma_n(X; \mu)$  and  $\Gamma_n(X)$  are not always the same. For example,  $\Gamma_n(X) \geq 1$  whenever  $\dim(X) \geq n$  or in [13] it is shown that

$$\Gamma_n(X) \geq cn^{1/2} \quad \text{if and only if } X \text{ is not } K\text{-convex.}$$

In contrast to this we have the following two results.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *A Banach space  $X$  is isomorphic to a Hilbert space if and only if*

$$\sum_n \Gamma_n(X; \mu)^2/n < \infty \quad \text{for all } \mu \in W(B_{X'})$$

and

(\*\*)

$$\sum_n \Gamma_n(X'; \nu)^2/n < \infty \quad \text{for all } \nu \in W(B_{X''}).$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.1 ( $S=I_X$ ) and from the factorization argument given in the proof of Theorem 3.2 it is clear that (\*) is equivalent to

$$\Pi_2(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{2,2}^a(X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_2(X', Y) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{2,2}^a(X', Y) \quad (**)$$

for all Banach spaces  $Y$ . Hence (\*) is fulfilled whenever  $X$  is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. Let us treat the converse. The second inclusion of (\*\*) implies  $\{a_n(S)\} \in l_2$  for all  $S: Z \rightarrow X$  with  $S' \in \Pi_2$ . Hence the definition of  $\Gamma_2^*$  and the multiplicity of the approximation numbers imply  $N(X, X) \subseteq \Gamma_2^*(X, X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{1,1}^a(X, X)$ . Therefore  $X$  is a Hilbert space according to [5, Theorem 3.15]. ■

*Problem.* Does “ $\Pi_2(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{2,2}^a(X, Y)$  for all Banach spaces  $Y$ ” imply that  $X$  is a Hilbert space? From Theorem 3.2 we know that  $X$  must be a weak Hilbert space.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *For a Banach space  $X$  the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $X$  contains an isomorphic copy of  $l_1$ .
- (2) There exist  $\mu \in W(B_X)$  and  $c > 0$  such that

$$\Gamma_n(X; \mu) \geq cn^{1/2} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

- (3) There exist  $\mu \in W(B_X)$  and  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  such that for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  there are  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B_X$  with

$$\mu \times \dots \times \mu \{ (a_1, \dots, a_n) : |\det(\langle x_i, a_j \rangle)|^{1/n} \geq \alpha n^{1/2} \} \geq \beta^n.$$

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). A result of Pelczynski and Ovsepian [8, Proposition 3] says that a Banach space  $X$  contains  $l_1$  if and only if there exists a non-compact operator  $S: X \rightarrow l_2 \in \Pi_2$ . Hence Lemma 3.1 yields the equivalence. ((1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) follows directly from Example 2.7 and Corollary 2.4 in a more constructive way.)

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). We choose  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B_X$  with

$$\int_{B_X} \dots \int_{B_X} |\det(\langle x_i, a_j \rangle)|^2 d\mu(a_1) \dots d\mu(a_n) \geq (c/2)^{2n} n^n.$$

Defining  $\alpha := c/4$  and

$$p := \mu \times \dots \times \mu \{ (a_1, \dots, a_n) : |\det(\langle x_i, a_j \rangle)|^{1/n} \geq \alpha n^{1/2} \}$$

we conclude

$$(c/2)^{2n} n^n \leq (1 - p) \alpha^{2n} n^n + pn^n \leq ((c/4)^{2n} + p) n^n.$$

Hence  $p \geq (c/2)^{2n} - (c/4)^{2n} \geq (c/4)^{2n}$  and  $\beta := (c/4)^2$  satisfies (3).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). This is clear since  $\Gamma_n(X; \mu)^{2n} \geq \alpha^{2n} \beta^n n^n$ . ■

It is known that an operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is compact if and only if the sequence of its Gelfand numbers

$$c_n(S) = \inf \{ \|S|_E\| : E \subseteq X, \text{codim}(E) < n \}$$

tends to zero. The same holds for the Kolmogorov numbers

$$d_n(S) := \inf \{ \|Q_F S\| : F \subseteq Y, \dim(F) < n, Q_F: Y \rightarrow Y/F \text{ canonical} \}.$$

Now the result of Ovsepian and Pelczynski [8] can be formulated as follows.

A Banach space  $X$  does not contain  $l_1$  if and only if  $c_n(S) \xrightarrow{n} 0$  ( $d_n(S) \xrightarrow{n} 0$ ) for all  $S \in \Pi_2(X, Y)$  and all Banach spaces  $Y$ . Moreover, it is clear that  $c_n(S) \xrightarrow{n} 0$  (or  $d_n(S) \xrightarrow{n} 0$ ) for all  $S \in \Gamma_2^*(X, Y)$  if  $X$  or  $Y'$  does not contain  $l_1$ .

We will replace the Gelfand (or Kolmogorov) numbers by the Grothendieck numbers. In general we have

$$(c_1(S) \cdots c_n(S))^{1/n} \leq \Gamma_n(S) \quad \text{and} \quad (d_1(S) \cdots d_n(S))^{1/n} \leq \Gamma_n(S)$$

for all  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and all Banach spaces  $X, Y$  (this is a result of Carl; cf. [3]). The converse does not hold in this form since, for example,

$$c_n(I: l_1^m \rightarrow l_\infty^m) \leq 6 \frac{m^{1/2}}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad d_n(I: l_1^m \rightarrow l_\infty^m) \leq 6 \frac{m^{1/2}}{n}$$

for  $n = 1, \dots, m$  (cf. [10, (11.11.11)]) whereas  $\Gamma_n(I: l_1^m \rightarrow l_\infty^m) = 1$ .

**THEOREM 3.5.** *For a Banach space  $X$  the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $X$  does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $l_1$ .
- (2) For all Banach spaces  $Y$  and for all  $S \in \Pi_2(X, Y)$  we have

$$\Gamma_n(S) \xrightarrow{n} 0.$$

(3) For all Banach spaces  $Y$ , for all  $S \in \Pi_2(X, Y)$ , and for all sequences  $\{x_n\} \subseteq B_X$  we have

$$(\varepsilon(Sx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Sx_n))^{1/n} \xrightarrow{n} 0.$$

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). If  $X$  does not contain  $l_1$  then  $n^{-1/2} \Gamma_n(X; \mu) \xrightarrow{n} 0$  for all  $\mu \in W(B_{X'})$  according to Lemma 3.1 and [8]. Hence (2) follows from Lemma 2.1'.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Trivial.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). We assume that  $X$  contains a copy of  $l_1$ , say  $Y \subseteq X$ . If  $\{y_n\}$  corresponds to the standard basis of  $l_1$ , the operator  $S: Y \rightarrow l_2$  defined by  $Sy_i := e_i$  is absolutely 2-summing (cf. [10, (22.4.4)]). It is known that there exists an extension  $T: X \rightarrow l_2 \in \Pi_2$ . Hence

$$\varepsilon(Ty_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Ty_n) = \varepsilon(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n) = 1 \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

which is a contradiction to (3). ■

Furthermore, from Lemmas 2.2', 3.1 and [8] we obtain

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be a Banach spaces such that at least one of the spaces  $X$  and  $Y'$  does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $l_1$ . Then*

$$\Gamma_n(S) \xrightarrow{n} 0 \quad \text{for all } S \in \Gamma_2^*(X, Y).$$

*Remark.* The converse of Theorem 3.6 is not true. If we set  $X = Y = l_1$  all operators  $S \in \Gamma_2^*(X, Y)$  factor as  $S = BA$  with  $A \in \mathcal{L}(l_1, l_2)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(l_2, l_1)$ .  $B$  is known to be automatically compact (cf. [6, (I.2.c.3)]) such that  $\Gamma_n(B) \xrightarrow{n} 0$  according to [3, (2.2)]. Hence  $\Gamma_n(S) \leq \Gamma_n(B) \|A\|$  implies  $\Gamma_n(S) \xrightarrow{n} 0$ .

#### 4. CUBICAL VOLUME RATIO

We demonstrate that the Grothendieck numbers are useful for considering the cubical volume ratio of convex and symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We reprove a result of Pelczynski and Szarek [9, Corollary 2.2] and use the estimates, obtained for this purpose, to sharpen the relation between the Grothendieck numbers and the volume ratio using ellipsoids of maximal and minimal volume.

As in [9] we also use in Proposition 4.2 the Gauss-inequality. Nevertheless our approach seems to be somewhat different and yields further consequences.

From now on all Banach spaces are assumed to be real. The volume of a body  $C \subseteq E$ , where  $E$  is a finite-dimensional Banach space, is taken with respect to a fixed non-trivial Haar-measure and denoted by  $|C|$ . For simplicity we take the standard Lebesgue measure in the case  $E = l_2^n$  or  $E = l_\infty^n$ .

The *cubical volume ratio* of the unit ball  $B_E$  of an  $n$ -dimensional Banach space  $E$  is defined as

$$a(E) := \sup \left\{ \frac{|v(B_E)|}{|B_{l_2^n}|} : \|v: E \rightarrow l_\infty^n\| \leq 1 \right\}^{1/n}.$$

By an ellipsoid  $D$  in  $E$  we mean the image of  $B_{l_2^n}$  under some  $u \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^n, E)$ , that is,  $D = u(B_{l_2^n})$ .  $D_{\max}^E \subseteq B_E$  is the ellipsoid of maximal volume which lies in  $B_E$  and  $D_{\min}^E \supseteq B_E$  the ellipsoid of minimal volume which contains  $B_E$ .

With the above notation we define the usual *volume ratio* of  $E$  as

$$vr(E) := \left( \frac{|B_E|}{|D_{\max}^E|} \right)^{1/n}.$$

The following easy observation is the reason for the use of Grothendieck numbers to compare the cubical volume ratio with the usual volume ratio.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $E$  be  $n$ -dimensional. Then*

$$a(E) = a(l_2^n) \text{vr}(E) \Gamma_n(u),$$

where  $u \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^n, E)$  is a John-map ( $\|u\| = 1, \pi_2(u^{-1}) = n^{1/2}$ ).

*Proof.* From the definition of  $a(E)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a(E) &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|B_{l_2^n}|}{|B_{l_\infty^n}|} \frac{|B_E|}{|u(B_{l_2^n})|} \frac{|vu(B_{l_2^n})|}{|B_{l_2^n}|} : \|v: E \rightarrow l_\infty^n\| \leq 1 \right\}^{1/n} \\ &= a(l_2^n) \text{vr}(E) \sup \left\{ \frac{|vu(B_{l_2^n})|}{|B_{l_2^n}|} : \|v: E \rightarrow l_\infty^n\| \leq 1 \right\}^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $|vu(B_{l_2^n})| = \Gamma_n(vu: l_2^n \rightarrow l_2^n)^n |B_{l_2^n}|$  and  $\Gamma_n(vu: l_2^n \rightarrow l_2^n) = \Gamma_n(vu: l_2^n \rightarrow l_\infty^n)$  from [2] we continue to

$$\begin{aligned} a(E) &= a(l_2^n) \text{vr}(E) \sup \{ \Gamma_n(vu): \|v: E \rightarrow l_\infty^n\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= a(l_2^n) \text{vr}(E) \Gamma_n(u) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\Gamma_n(S) = \sup \{ \Gamma_n(vS): \|v: Y \rightarrow l_\infty^n\| \leq 1 \}$  for  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  in general. ■

Now we estimate  $\Gamma_n(u)$  from below and from above. The estimate  $\Gamma_n(u) \leq 1$  follows from [2] and is clearly the best possible.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let  $E$  be  $n$ -dimensional and let  $u \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^n, E)$  be a John-map. Then for  $N = n(n + 1)/2$*

$$\left(\frac{N}{n}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{n!}{N(N-1)\cdots(N-n+1)}\right)^{1/2n} \leq \Gamma_n(u) \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* From [16, Theorem 15.5] we know that the inverse  $u^{-1}$  of a John-map can be dominated by a  $\mu \in \mathcal{W}(B_E)$  with  $\text{card}(\text{supp}(\mu)) = N$ . Setting  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \delta_{b_j}$  from Example 2.6 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right)^{1/2n} &= \Gamma_n(u; \mu) \\ &= \sup_{f_i \in B_{l_2^n}^n} \left\{ \int_{B_E} \cdots \int_{B_E} |\det(\langle u f_i, a_j \rangle)|^2 d\mu(a_1) \cdots d\mu(a_n) \right\}^{1/2n} \\ &\leq \Gamma_n(u) \left( n! \sum_{j_1 < \cdots < j_n} \lambda_{j_1} \cdots \lambda_{j_n} \right)^{1/2n}. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the second factor from above by  $((N \cdots (N - n + 1))/N^n)^{1/2n}$  according to the Gauss-inequality [1, p. 11]. Hence the lower estimate of  $\Gamma_n(u)$  follows. ■

Directly from Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 we obtain

COROLLARY 4.3 [9, Corollary 2.2]. *Let  $E$  be  $n$ -dimensional. Then*

$$a(E) \leq a(l_2^n) \text{vr}(E) \leq \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{N \cdots (N - n + 1)}{n!}\right)^{1/2n} a(E),$$

where  $N = n(n + 1)/2$ .

We can also improve [3, Theorem 1.1].

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $N = n(n + 1)/2$ . Then*

$$\Gamma_n(X) \leq \sup \left\{ \frac{|D_{\min}^E|}{|D_{\max}^E|} \right\}^{1/n} \leq \frac{n}{N} \left(\frac{N \cdots (N - n + 1)}{n!}\right)^{1/2n} \Gamma_n(X),$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $E \subseteq X$  with  $\dim(E) = n$ .

*Proof.* The proof is exactly the same as that in [3]. We have to replace the estimate  $\Gamma_n(u) \geq 1/e$  by Proposition 4.2. ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Professors A. Pietsch and M. Junge for helpful hints during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. E. BECKENBACH AND R. BELLMAN, "Inequalities," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1961.

2. S. GEISS, Grothendieck numbers of linear and continuous operators on Banach spaces, *Math. Nachr.* **148** (1990), 65–79.
3. S. GEISS, Grothendieck numbers and volume ratios of operators on Banach spaces, *Forum Math.* **2** (1990), 323–340.
4. J. R. HOLUB, Tensor product mappings, *Math. Ann.* **188** (1970), 1–12; *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **42** (1974), 437–441.
5. W. B. JOHNSON, H. KONIG, B. MAUREY, AND J. R. RETHERFORD, Eigenvalues of  $p$ -summing and  $l_p$ -type operators in Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **32** (1979), 353–380.
6. J. LINDENSTRAUSS AND L. TZAFRIRI, “Classical Banach Spaces I/II,” Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1977/1979.
7. V. MASCIONI, On weak cotype and weak type in Banach spaces, *Note Mat.* **8** (1988), 67–110.
8. R. I. OVSEPIAN AND A. PELCZYNSKI, The existence in every separable Banach space of a fundamental total and bounded biorthogonal sequence and related constructions of uniformly bounded orthonormal systems in  $L^2$ , *Sem. Maurey-Schwartz* (1973–1974), Exposé 20.
9. A. PELCZYNSKI AND S. J. SZAREK, On parallelepipeds of minimal volume containing a convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **109** (1991), 125–148.
10. A. PIETSCH, “Operator Ideals,” North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
11. A. PIETSCH, “Eigenvalues and  $s$ -Numbers,” Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.
12. A. PIETSCH, Eigenvalue distributions and geometry of Banach spaces, *Math. Nachr.* **150** (1991), 41–81.
13. A. PIETSCH, Eigenvalue criteria for the  $B$ -convexity of Banach spaces, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **41**, No. 2 (1990), 310–322.
14. A. PIETSCH, Approximation numbers of nuclear operators and geometry of Banach spaces, *Arch. Math.* **57** (1991), 155–168.
15. G. PISIER, Weak Hilbert spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **56** (1988), 547–579.
16. N. TOMCZAK-JAEGERMANN, “Banach–Mazur Distances and Finite Dimensional Operator Ideals,” Pitman, London, 1988.